arXiv:1209.2976v2 [math.AG] 20 Sep 2012

DESCENDING THE GROUND FIELD IN SUMS OF SQUARES REPRESENTATIONS

CLAUS SCHEIDERER

Abstract. We construct explict polynomials with rational coefficients which are sums of squares of polynomials with real coefficients, but not of polynomials with rational coefficients. Whether or not such examples exist was an open question originally raised by Sturmfels.

Introduction

Let f be a polynomial with rational coefficients, and assume that f is a sum of squares of polynomials with real coefficients. It was Sturmfels who asked whether f is necessarily a sum of squares of polynomials with rational coefficients. Here we show that in general the answer is negative. Our construction produces explicit families of ternary forms over $\mathbb{Q}$, of any even degree ≥ 4, which are sums of two squares over $\mathbb{R}$ but not sums of any number of squares over $\mathbb{Q}$. The details are given in Section 2.

Previously Hillar [2] had shown that the answer to Sturmfels's question is positive when a sum of squares decomposition of f exists over some real Galois extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$. He also gave an upper bound on the number of squares needed over $\mathbb{Q}$, in terms of the number needed over K and of the degree $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$. Later this bound was improved significantly by Quarez [3], who also showed that his bound is essentially sharp. In Section 1 we present a new and extremely short proof for the bound given by Quarez. The proof works as well when K is not necessarily Galois over K, but merely totally real, thereby generalizing the result from [3]. We also extend both the qualitative and the quantitative part to arbitrary finite and totally real field extensions K/k, and to arbitrary commutative k-algebras.

This is a preliminary version that will be expanded later.

1. Sos descent in the totally real case

1.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{Q}[\mathtt{x}]$ be a polynomial, and assume that f is a sum of squares of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}]$. Clearly there exists a real number field K such that f is a sum of squares of polynomials with coefficients in K. A few years back, Sturmfels had asked whether f is necessarily a sum of squares of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$. In partial response to this question, Hillar [2] proved that the answer is positive when K is totally real. He also provided a bound for the number of squares needed over $\mathbb{Q}$, in terms of the number needed over K and of the degree of the Galois hull of $K/\mathbb{Q}$. This bound was much improved upon by Quarez [3]. His argument is slightly different from Hillar's, but both are constructive.

Here we extend the bound given by Quarez to the case where the real number field K is not necessarily Galois, but merely totally real. In fact, we extend both the qualitative and the quantitative part to arbitrary totally real finite field extensions K/k. Finally, we extend both from polynomial rings over k to arbitrary (commutative) k-algebras. Our argument is constructive as well.

1.2. Before giving the actual proof, which is very short, we need to recall a few facts about trace forms. Given a real field k and an extension K/k of finite degree $d=[K:k]$, consider the associated trace quadratic form

$$\tau\colon K\to k,\quad y\mapsto \mathrm{tr}_{K/k}(y^2)$$

over k. The form τ has dimension d and has the following well-known property: For any ordering P of k, the Sylvester signature of τ at P is equal to the number of extensions of the ordering P to K. (See [4], Lemma 3.2.7 or Theorem 3.4.5.)

For any commutative ring A, denote by ΣA^2 the set of sums of squares of A. Assume that every ordering of k has $d:=[K:k]$ different extensions to K, or equivalently, that every ordering of k extends to the Galois hull of K/k. Then τ is positive definite with respect to every ordering of k. Diagonalizing τ therefore gives sums of squares $a_1,\dots,a_d\in\Sigma k^2$, together with a k-linear basis $y_1,\dots,y_d$ of K, such that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/k}\Bigl(\Bigl(\sum_{i=1}^d x_iy_i\Bigr)^2\Bigr)\;=\;\sum_{i=1}^d a_ix_i^2 \tag{1.1}$$

holds for all $x_1,\dots,x_d\in k$. Note that we can choose $a_1=d$ here. More generally, if A is an arbitrary (commutative) k-algebra and $A_K=A\otimes_k K$, then

$$\mathrm{tr}_{A_K/A}\Bigl(\Bigl(\sum_{i=1}^d x_i\otimes y_i\Bigr)^2\Bigr)\;=\;\sum_{i=1}^d a_ix_i^2 \tag{1.2}$$

holds for all $x_1,\dots,x_n\in A$.

After this reminder we can prove:

Theorem 1.3. *Let K/k be an extension of real fields of finite degree $d=[K:k]$, and assume that every ordering of k extends to d different orderings of K. Then there exist d sums of squares $c_1,\dots,c_d$ in k with $c_1=1$ and with the following property:*

For every k-algebra A, every $m\ge 1$ and every $f\in A$ which is a sum of m squares in $A_K=A\otimes_k K$, there exist $f_1,\dots,f_d\in A$ such that each f_i is a sum of m squares in A, and such that

$$f\;=\;\sum_{i=1}^d c_if_i.$$

In particular, f is a sum of $dm\cdot p(k)$ squares in A. (The last number can be improved, see 1.4.3 below.)

Here $p(k)$ denotes the Pythagoras number of k, i.e., the smallest number p such that every sum of squares in k is a sum of p squares in k. (If no such number p exists one puts $p(k)=\infty$.)

Proof. Choose $a_i\in\Sigma k^2$ and $y_i\in K$ $(i=1,\dots,d)$ as in 1.2. It suffices to take $c_i=\frac{a_i}{d}$ for $i=1,\dots,d$. Indeed, assuming $f=g_1^2+\cdots+g_m^2$ with $g_1,\dots,g_m\in A_K$, we get

$$d\cdot f=\mathrm{tr}_{A_K/A}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^m \mathrm{tr}_{A_K/A}(g_j^2)=\sum_{j=1}^m\sum_{i=1}^d a_ix_{ij}^2,$$

where the $x_{ij} \in A$ are determined by $g_j = \sum_{i=1}^d x_{ij} \otimes y_i$ $(j = 1, \dots, m)$. So the assertion in the theorem holds with $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^m x_{ij}^2$ $(i = 1, \dots, d)$. $\square$

Remarks 1.4. 1. The proof is completely constructive: Knowing the sums of squares decomposition of f in A_K, we explicitly get $f_1, \dots, f_d$ together with sums of squares decompositions in A.

2. Assume that k is a number field, so $p(k) = 4$. Using the well-known composition formulas for sums of four squares, we can improve the upper bound $4dm$ in Theorem 1.3. Indeed, $c_i f_i$ is a sum of $4\lceil \frac{m}{4} \rceil$ squares for every i, and is a sum of m squares for $i = 1$, so altogether f is a sum of

$$m + 4(d-1) \cdot \left\lceil \frac{m}{4} \right\rceil$$

squares in A. This is precisely the bound found by Quarez [3] in the case where $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois. Note that this bound lies between dm and $d(m+3) - 3$.

3. Similar as in the previous remark, we can improve the bound in Theorem 1.3 for arbitrary K/k, using composition. In this way we obtain the general bound

$$8d \cdot \left\lceil \frac{p(k)}{8} \right\rceil \cdot \left\lceil \frac{m}{8} \right\rceil \tag{1.3}$$

for the number of squares in A, which is roughly $\frac{1}{8}$ of the bound mentioned in 1.3. If $\min\{p(k), m\}$ is at most 4 (resp. 2), we get a better valid bound by replacing the number 8 in (1.3) by 4 (resp. 2). By making use of the fact that $c_1 = 1$, all these bounds can still be improved a little more, similar as in the previous remark.

1.5. The qualitative part of the above result extends immediately to the following more general situation. Let K/k be a field extension, and let A be a k-algebra. Fix elements $h_1, \dots, h_r \in A$, and consider the so-called (pseudo-) quadratic module

$$M := \Bigl\{ \sum_{i=1}^r s_i h_i \colon s_1, \dots, s_r \in \Sigma A^2 \Bigr\}$$

generated by the h_i in A. Similarly, let

$$M_K := \Bigl\{ \sum_{i=1}^r t_i h_i \colon t_1, \dots, t_r \in \Sigma A_K^2 \Bigr\}$$

be the (pseudo-) quadratic module generated by M in A_K. Then we have:

Proposition 1.6. *If K/k is a finite extension of real fields such that every ordering of k extends to $[K:k]$ different orderings of K, then $A \cap M_K = M$.*

Proof. Let $t_1, \dots, t_r \in \Sigma A_K^2$ be such that $f := \sum_{i=1}^r t_i h_i$ lies in A. Taking the trace of f gives

$$f = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^r \mathrm{tr}_{A_K/A}(t_i)\, h_i.$$

For any $i = 1, \dots, r$, the trace $\mathrm{tr}_{A_K/A}(t_i)$ lies in ΣA^2, see 1.2. It follows that $f \in M$. $\square$

2. Failure of sos descent in the general case

The question by Sturmfels discussed here was originally raised in the context of polynomials. It is natural to extend the problem from polynomial rings to arbitrary (finitely generated) $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras A: If $f \in A$ is a sum of squares in $A_{\mathbb{R}} := A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$, does it follow that f is a sum of squares in A? We first observe that, in this generality, it is easy to give counterexamples.

2.1. For this we may start with a linear matrix inequality (LMI) with rational coefficients whose solution set is nonempty, but contains no rational point. An example of such an LMI is $M(t) \succeq 0$, where $M(t) = M_0 + tM_1$ and

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The only real number making $M(t)$ psd is $t = \sqrt{2}$ (the positive root). Like with any LMI defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, we may associate with $M(t)$ a pair consisting of a graded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra A and a homogeneous element $f \in A$ of degree two. That $M(t) \succeq 0$ has a solution $t \in \mathbb{R}$ means that f is a sum of squares of degree one elements in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$; that there is no solution $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ means that such a representation does not exist in A. For the above LMI we get

$$A = \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]/(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 2x_0^2),$$

so A is the homogeneous coordinate ring of a nonsingular quadric $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. We conclude that

$$f = 4x_0^2 + 4x_0x_1 + 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_2x_3 - x_3^2$$

is a sum of squares in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$, but not in A. (Since the $\mathbb{R}$-points on X are Zariski dense in X, it follows that, in any sos representation of f in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$, all terms must be homogeneous of degree 2.) That $t = \sqrt{2}$ is the only real solution of the LMI translates into the fact that

$$f = \frac{1}{2}(2-\sqrt{2})\left(2x_0 + (2+\sqrt{2})x_1\right)^2 + (1+\sqrt{2})\left(x_2 + (1-\sqrt{2})x_3\right)^2$$

is the only sos representation (up to equivalence) of f in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. (Note that, despite appearance, f is not a sum of squares over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$.)

Finding similar examples in the polynomial ring is more tricky. The following construction yields a class of polynomials that give a negative answer to Sturmfels's (original) question:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $d \geq 4$ be an even number. There exist (many) forms f of degree d in three variables with rational coefficients that are sums of two squares of forms with real coefficients, but are not a sum of any number of squares of forms with rational coefficients. For example,*

$$f = x^4 + xy^3 + y^4 - 3x^2yz - 4xy^2z + 2x^2z^2 + xz^3 + yz^3 + z^4$$

is such a form.

2.3. To prove the theorem we consider the following setup. Let K be a totally imaginary number field of degree $2n$, let E be the Galois hull of $K/\mathbb{Q}$, and let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ (resp. $H = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$) be the Galois group of E over $\mathbb{Q}$ (resp. of

E over K). The group G acts transitively on the set $\mathrm{Hom}(K,E)$ of embeddings $K \to E$ by

$$({}^{\sigma}\varphi)(\alpha) \;=\; \sigma(\varphi(\alpha))$$

($\sigma \in G$, $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(K,E)$), thereby identifying the G-set $\mathrm{Hom}(K,E)$ with G/H. We fix an embedding $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and denote by $\tau \in G$ the complex conjugation, restricted to E. Since K is totally imaginary, τ acts on G/H without fixpoint.

2.4. We extend the G-action on E to polynomials over E by having G act on the coefficients. Let $l \in K[x,y,z]$ be a linear form over K, and let $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be the line $l = 0$. We assume that the $2n$ Galois conjugates of L are in general position, that is, no three of them pass through a common point. For example, this condition is satisfied when α is a primitive element for $K/\mathbb{Q}$ and

$$l \;=\; x + \alpha y + \alpha^2 z$$

(use a Vandermonde argument to see this). We consider the form

$$f \;:=\; \prod_{\sigma H \in G/H} {}^{\sigma}l \tag{2.1}$$

of degree $2n$. Clearly, f has rational coefficients. Moreover, since τ acts on G/H without fixpoint, we can choose n cosets $\sigma_1 H, \dots, \sigma_n H$ in G/H which represent the τ-orbits. Writing $l_j := {}^{\sigma_j}l$ and $\overline{l}_j := {}^{\tau}l_j$ $(j = 1,\dots,n)$ we have

$$f \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{n} l_i \overline{l}_i.$$

This shows that f is a product of n quadratic forms over $\mathbb{R}$, each of which is a sum of two squares over $\mathbb{R}$. In particular, f is a sum of two squares of degree n forms with real coefficients.

2.5. Let us label the $2n$ lines ${}^{\sigma}l = 0$ $(\sigma H \in G/H)$ by $L_1, \dots, L_{2n}$. By our assumption of general position, the $\binom{2n}{2}$ pairwise intersection points $P_{ij} = L_i \cap L_j$ $(1 \le i < j \le 2n)$ are all distinct. Exactly n of them are real, and they correspond to the τ-orbits in G/H. Let us assume that the action of G on G/H is 2-transitive. Then G acts transitively on the set $\{P_{ij} \colon 1 \le i < j \le 2n\}$. We claim that f cannot be a sum of squares of forms with rational coefficients, provided that $n \ge 2$. To see this, suppose

$$f \;=\; p_1^2 + \cdots + p_r^2$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are forms of degree n in $\mathbb{Q}[x,y,z]$. Each p_ν vanishes in the n real intersection points. By Galois invariance, the p_ν have to vanish in all $\binom{2n}{2}$ points P_{ij}. But there is no nonzero form of degree n vanishing in all these points, as long as $n \ge 2$. In fact, there is not even any nonzero such form of degree $2n-2$:

Proposition 2.6. *Let $m \ge 3$, and let $L_1, \dots, L_m$ be m lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ in general position. There is no curve of degree $m-2$ passing through all the $\binom{m}{2}$ intersection points $L_i \cap L_j$ $(1 \le i < j \le m)$.*

Proof. This must be well-known, but I do not know a reference. For a proof let $l_i = 0$ be the equation of L_i, and let $P_{ij} = L_i \cap L_j$ $(1 \le i < j \le m)$. Assume that $F = F(x,y,z)$ is a form of degree $m-2$ that vanishes in all the points P_{ij}. Since F has at least $m-1$ different zeros on L_1, namely the P_{1j} for $2 \le j \le m$, we must have $F = l_1 F_1$ with a form F_1 of degree $m-3$. None of the points P_{ij}, for

$2 \le i < j \le m$, lies on L_1. Therefore F_1 vanishes in all the intersection points of the $m-1$ lines $L_2, \dots, L_m$. By induction we know $F_1 = 0$, and so we get $F = 0$. $\square$

Summarizing, we have proved:

Theorem 2.7. *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a totally imaginary number field of degree $d > 2$, and let $l \in K[x,y,z]$ be a linear form whose d Galois conjugates over $\mathbb{Q}$ are in general position. Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be the Galois hull of $K/\mathbb{Q}$, and let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, $H = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$. If the G-action on G/H is 2-transitive, then*

$$f := \prod_{\sigma H \in G/H} {}^{\sigma}l$$

is a form in $\mathbb{Q}[x,y,z]$ of degree d that is a sum of two squares over $\mathbb{R}$, but not a sum of any number of squares over $\mathbb{Q}$. $\square$

Example 2.8. To produce an explicit example we may start with the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^4 - \alpha + 1 = 0$. In this case the Galois group G is the full symmetric group on the roots of $t^4 - t + 1$, as one sees by reducing modulo 2 and modulo 3. Starting with $l = x + \alpha y + \alpha^2 z$, we obtain the form f displayed in Theorem 2.2.

To see a sum of squares representation of f explicitly, let β be a root of $t^3 - 4t - 1 = 0$ (the cubic resolvent of $t^4 - t + 1$). Then we have the decomposition

$$4f \;=\; \Bigl(2x^2 + \beta y^2 - yz + (2 + \frac{1}{\beta})z^2\Bigr)^2 - \beta\Bigl(2xy - \frac{y^2}{\beta} + \frac{2xz}{\beta} + \beta yz - z^2\Bigr)^2.$$

The cubic field $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ is totally real and not Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. Its three places send β to real numbers approximately equal to

$$-0.93040293, \quad -0.12705084, \quad 1.05745377,$$

respectively. The first two embeddings therefore give two representations of f as a sum of two squares, defined over the real field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\beta})$. These are (up to equivalence) the only two real representations of f as a sum of two squares. Every other real sos representation of f is (equivalent to) a sum of four squares, and arises as a convex combination of the two extremal representations.

Remark 2.9. In the degree $d = 4$ case of Theorem 2.7 one can show a partial converse. Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be totally imaginary with $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = 4$, and let $l \in K[x,y,z]$ be a linear form such that the four Galois conjugates of the line $l = 0$ are in general position. If the Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ fails to be 2-transitive on $G/H = \mathrm{Hom}(K,E)$, that is, if $|G| = 4$ or $|G| = 8$, then the quartic form f defined by (2.1) is sos over $\mathbb{Q}$. To see this one considers the Gram spectrahedron S of f. It is easy to see that S has dimension one, therefore S is a compact interval. When $|G| \le 8$ then G fixes a partition of G/H of type $(2,2)$. One can show that this implies that G leaves invariant the affine-linear hull of one of the faces of S. Therefore, f is sos over $\mathbb{Q}$ in this case.

Remark 2.10. We can easily extend Theorem 2.2 to real number fields other than $\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, let K, E, l, f etc. be as in 2.4, and such that $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ acts 2-transitively on $G/H = \mathrm{Hom}(K,E)$. Let k be any number field with at least one real place, and consider the natural embedding ϕ from $\mathrm{Gal}(kE/k)$ into G, induced by restriction of automorphisms. Then ϕ is surjective if (and only if) $E \cap k = \mathbb{Q}$, that is, if E and k are linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assuming that this is the case, we claim that f is not a sum of squares over k. Indeed, by the argument in 2.5, the

$\binom{2n}{2}$ intersection points P_{ij} are all Galois conjugate among each other over k. If there were an identity $f = p_1^2 + \cdots + p_r^2$ with forms $p_\nu \in k[x, y, z]$, the p_ν would have to vanish in all points P_{ij}, which is again impossible by Proposition 2.6.

Using this way of reasoning, we conclude:

Corollary 2.11. *Let k be any number field with at least one real place, let $d \geq 4$ be an even number. Then there exist (many) forms $f = f(x, y, z)$ of degree d with rational coefficients that are sums of two squares of forms over $\mathbb{R}$, but are not a sum of any number of squares over k.*

In particular, over a real number field there is no analogue of Hilbert's theorem [1] over $\mathbb{R}$, according to which every psd ternary quartic form is a sum of squares (of three squares, in fact) of quadratic forms.

Proof. Given k, it suffices by 2.10 to find a totally imaginary extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois hull $E/\mathbb{Q}$ for which $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ acts 2-transitively on $G/H = \mathrm{Hom}(K, E)$ (where $H = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$), and such that E and k are linearly disjoint. The latter will certainly be the case if the discriminants of E and k are relatively prime. So the assertion follows from the next lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2.12. *For any finite set S of primes and any even number d, there exist (many) totally imaginary number fields $K/\mathbb{Q}$ of degree d with Galois hull $E/\mathbb{Q}$ such that the discriminant of E is not divisible by any prime in S, and such that $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is 2-transitive.*

Proof. It suffices to have a monic polynomial $g(x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ of degree d with the following properties: (1) g is positive definite; (2) the discriminant of g is not divisible by any prime in S; (3) there exist primes p, q such that $g \bmod p$ is irreducible and $g \bmod q$ is a linear factor times an irreducible polynomial. Given such g, let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where α is a root of g, and let E be the Galois hull of K. Then K has the required properties. In particular, the action of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ on the roots of g is 2-transitive since G contains a $(d-1)$-cycle. Properties (2) and (3) can be guaranteed by arranging a particular factor decomposition of g modulo p, for finitely many primes p. So it is clear that (many) polynomials g as above can be found. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] D. Hilbert: Über die Darstellung definiter Formen als Summe von Formenquadraten. Math. Ann. **32**, 342–350 (1888).

[2] Ch. Hillar: Sums of squares over totally real fields are rational sums of squares. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **137**, 921–930 (2009).

[3] R. Quarez: Tight bounds for rational sums of squares over totally real fields. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **59**, 377–388 (2010).

[4] W. Scharlau: *Quadratic and Hermitian Forms*. Grundl. math. Wiss. **270**, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK AND STATISTIK, UNIVERSITÄT KONSTANZ, D–78457 KONSTANZ, GERMANY

E-mail address: `claus.scheiderer@uni.constanz.de`

URL: `http://www.math.uni-konstanz/~scheider`